


Regulatory institutions and practices inquiry evaluation survey

New Zealand Productivity Commission

September 2014


Following each inquiry, the Commission undertakes a survey of stakeholders who have participated in it. This document collates final results from the regulation inquiry, and where possible provides comparisons with past surveys. 100 responses were received in total.

For more information on the inquiry, see www.productivity.govt.nz/inquiry-content/regulatory




The 'other' respondents were four representatives of industry bodies, one MP, one representative of a professional organisation (nurses), one union, one ITO, and two consulting firm.


Please rate the overall quality of the inquiry, taking into account the focus of the report, quality of analysis, engagement, delivery of message and process




When undertaking the inquiry the Commission sourced all relevant research and information




When undertaking the inquiry the Commission engaged with the right people




The inquiry report focussed on the issues most significant to [the topic]




The inquiry went into sufficient depth on the issues it covered




How would you rate the inquiry report's analysis of information?




How would you rate the inquiry report's findings and recommendations?




The inquiry report's recommendations followed logically from the analysis and findings




The inquiry's recommendations...




During the inquiry, the Commission...




Which versions of the inquiry report have you read (select as many responses as apply):




The inquiry report communicated clearly:



The communications materials were clear and easy to understand





The inquiry increased my understanding of...

