

Measure	Metric	Housing affordability	International freight
IMPACTS			
The Commission's recommendations are agreed and implemented	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Recommendations agreed ▪ Recommendations implemented From review of Cabinet minutes and follow-up with implementing agencies	Not yet available Not yet available	Not yet available Not yet available
Improved productivity analysis and advice in NZ	Inquiry participants surveyed who agreed or strongly agreed that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The inquiry helped set or lift the standard in NZ for high quality analysis and advice on productivity issues ▪ As a result of the inquiry, future work on [the subject of the inquiry] will be better focussed and use resources more effectively 	60% 55%	66% 57%
Promotion of public understanding of productivity-related matters	Inquiry participants surveyed who considered that the inquiry had increased their understanding of the following at least a little: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The inquiry increased their understanding of [the subject of the inquiry] ▪ The inquiry increased their understanding of the importance of productivity more generally 	79% 71%	94% 83%
OUTPUTS			
Right focus			
Relevance and materiality of final inquiry reports	Inquiry participants surveyed who agreed or strongly agreed that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The Commission sourced all relevant research and information ▪ The Commission engaged with the right people ▪ The final report focussed on the issues most significant to [the subject of the inquiry] ▪ The final report went into sufficient depth on the issues it 	68% 73% 72% 71%	78% 83% 78% 78%

Measure	Metric	Housing affordability	International freight
covered			
Good process management			
All inquiry issues papers, draft reports and final reports are delivered to schedule	All external milestones communicated in the Commission's inquiry process plan are achieved	In response to requests from the Commission, the Minister of Finance approved 6 weeks extension to the final reporting date	Timelines met in full
Participant satisfaction with the inquiry process	Inquiry participants surveyed who agreed or strongly agreed that overall, they were satisfied with the Commission's inquiry process	69%	89%
High quality work			
Participant confidence in the Commission's inquiry findings and recommendations	Inquiry participants survey who considered the following aspects to be good or excellent quality:	77%	85%
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The inquiry's analysis of information ▪ The findings and recommendations 	69%	76%
	Inquiry participants surveyed who agreed or strongly agreed that:	72%	89%
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The Commission's recommendations followed logically from the inquiry analysis and findings ▪ The Commission's recommendations struck the right balance between suggesting change and avoiding making change for change's sake 	69%	79%
Effective engagement			
Participant perception of the quality of engagement by	Inquiry participants surveyed who agreed or strongly agreed that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ There was ample opportunity to 	81%	89%

Measure	Metric	Housing affordability	International freight
the Commission	participate in the inquiry	83%	94%
	▪ The Commission was approachable	81%	100%
	▪ The Commission communicated its views clearly	55%	74%
	▪ The Commission understood their views		
Engagement meetings held	Number of parties the Commission engaged with during the inquiry, as noted in the final report appendix	111	91
Submissions received	Number of parties who made a submission during the inquiry, as noted in the final report appendix	120	71
Clear delivery of message			
Participant perception of the effectiveness of the Commission's presentation of inquiry findings and recommendations	Inquiry participants surveyed who agreed or strongly agreed that:		
	▪ The findings and recommendations were clear	83%	100%
	▪ The style of writing and language used in the report was clear	91%	94%
	▪ The summary material provided was useful	86%	89%
Overall performance			
Independent expert evaluation of the overall performance of the inquiry	A report evaluating the overall performance of the inquiry from the final inquiry report (taking into account the focus of the report, process, analysis, engagement and delivery of message) with recommendations for future improvements	Reports received – see summary below	Reports received – see summary below
Focus group evaluation of inquiry	Report from a focus group representative of inquiry participants, facilitated by an independent person with significant experience in inquiry-type work with feedback on the inquiry and recommendations for future improvements (taking into account the focus of the report, process,	Reports received – see summary below	Reports received – see summary below

Measure	Metric	Housing affordability	International freight
	analysis, engagement and delivery of message)		
Participant evaluation of inquiry	Percentage of inquiry participants surveyed who rated the overall quality of the inquiry as good or excellent (taking into account the focus of the report, process, analysis, engagement and delivery of message)	53%	62%
	<i>Note: participants who rated the overall quality of the inquiry positively, as acceptable, good or excellent (a less demanding standard than the performance measure)</i>	77%	91%