## **Productivity Hub** Sausage Roll Seminar





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 うへで

Data o Descriptives

Regressions

Conclusions

## Migrant job sorting (work-in-progress)

#### Richard Fabling<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Economics & Research, Productivity Commission

#### Productivity Hub 20 September 2022

These results are not official statistics. They have been created for research purposes from the [Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI) and Longitudinal Business Database (LBD), which are carefully managed by Stats NZ. For more information about the IDI and LBD please visit https://www.stats.govt.nz/integrated-data/. The results are based in part on tax data supplied by Inland Revenue to Stats NZ under the Tax Administration Act 1994 for statistical purposes. Any discussion of data limitations or weaknesses is in the context of using the IDI for statistical purposes, and is not related to the data's ability to support Inland Revenue's core operational requirements.

Motivation	Data	Descriptives	Regressions	Conclusions
●000	O	0000000000000	000	O

## Motivation



- Migrants are important to the labour force
- Substantial change in migrant population over time

Aggregate growth AND changing visa composition

 Potential migrant sorting by firm productivity (Fabling, Maré & Stevens 2022)



### Industry distribution of migrants (wage & LP)





## Mean firm labour productivity by migrant category



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Motivation	Data	Descriptives	Regressions	Conclusions
000●	O	000000000000	000	O

#### Research questions

- Do migrant job characteristics differ from the job characteristics of NZ-born?
- Do job characteristics change the longer migrants stay in NZ?
- Are migrant wage gaps/changes in those gaps "explained" by job sorting?

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 9 0 0 0</p>

- These questions relate to multiple (non-migrant) literatures
  - Rent-sharing (eg, Allan & Maré)
  - Role of firms in wage inequality
  - (Who climbs the) Job ladder
  - Scarring effects of recessions
  - Importance of (market/job-specific) knowledge

Motivation	Data	Descriptives	Regressions	Conclusions
	●	0000000000000	000	O

- Fabling-Maré labour and productivity tables, 2005-2021(19)
- FTE-weighted (or prod & FTE-weighted)
- Unit of observation worker-firm-visa(15 groups)-(March)year
- Other IDI (following Fabling, Maré & Stevens)
  - Birth location (DIA & Census & MBIE)
  - Year of arrival to live in NZ (Census & MBIE)
  - Visa (MBIE with MBIE groupings)
- Migrant-NZ-born gaps estimated for
  - Individual wage (w, log of gross earnings per FTE)
  - Firm wage premium (firm FE, 2-way FE wage model)
  - Migrant share of co-workers (FTE of co-workers ≥1)
  - Co-worker mean skill (worker FE, mean zero within sex-yr)
  - Firm size (*I*, log of total firm FTE)
  - Firm labour productivity (PFP measured sector)
  - Firm capital labour ratio (k-l ratio)
- Regressions control for: year; sex×age; sex×tenure; firm location & 212 industries (last requires sampling)

Motivation	Data	Descriptives	Regressions	Conclusions
0000	O	•000000000000		O

## Mean wage by visa type

		Mean	FTE	Mean r	nonthly
	N(workers)	annual FTE	share	FTE	Wage
New Zealand-born	2,543,286	1,332,862	0.698	0.816	5,581
Australian-born	103,098	31,482	0.016	0.814	6,431
Long-term migrant (LT)	1,006,149	385,800	0.208	0.842	5,914
Skilled resident (SR)	273,858	32,290	0.021	0.855	6,191
Investor/entrepreneur	6,240	494	0.000	0.704	4,352
Primary applicant	159,447	22,562	0.013	0.918	7,092
Secondary applicant	108,204	14,705	0.007	0.764	4,589
Skilled non-resident (SNR)	296,292	26,488	0.017	0.928	5,822
Essential skills	251,175	22,553	0.013	0.922	5,289
Work-to-residence	59,001	5,996	0.004	0.949	7,771
Other resident (OR)	192,009	19,079	0.012	0.788	4,809
Partnership	99,576	11,826	0.006	0.810	4,932
Remaining OR categories	92,433	12,395	0.006	0.767	4,686
Other non-resident (ONR)	857,967	42,968	0.028	0.679	4,053
Work – Family	185,061	14,759	0.007	0.766	4,087
Study-to-work	129,693	11,695	0.006	0.791	3,976
Student	215,040	13,979	0.004	0.442	3,021
Working holiday scheme	390,192	14,307	0.006	0.673	3,955
Remaining ONR categories	169,605	8,583	0.004	0.770	5,213

ation Data	Descriptives	Regressions	Conclusion
	○●○○○○○○○○○○○	000	O
ation Data	Descriptives	Regressions	Conclusic
	○●○○○○○○○○○○○	000	O

## Main group – migrants present at 5+ years ("long-term")

Visa type held in	Proportion of	Transition	n rate
arrival/following year	$t \in \{0,1\}$ FTE	$t \in \{5, 6\}$	$t \ge 5$
Skilled resident (SR)	0.144	0.884	0.907
Investor/entrepreneur	0.001	0.719	0.752
Primary applicant	0.094	0.879	0.899
Secondary applicant	0.049	0.899	0.926
Skilled non-resident (SNR)	0.248	0.734	0.754
Essential skills	0.200	0.729	0.750
Work-to-residence	0.048	0.752	0.772
Other resident (OR)	0.089	0.811	0.848
Partnership	0.028	0.887	0.920
Remaining categories	0.061	0.776	0.814
Other non-resident (ONR)	0.519	0.471	0.492
Work – Family	0.105	0.811	0.845
Study-to-work	0.018	0.943	0.949
Student	0.081	0.775	0.792
Working holiday scheme	0.231	0.207	0.220
Remaining categories	0.084	0.381	0.413
Total	1.000	0.626	0.648

Motivation	Data	Descriptives	Regressions	Conclusions
0000	O	0000000000000	000	O

### Employment share by ventile of w (deviation from mean)



Mot	ivat	tion
000	0	

## Substantial (FTE-weighted) heterogeneity across jobs

	Worker	Firm	C	Co-work	er		Firm	
Ventile	w	FFE	LT	RM	Skill	I	LP	KL
1	7.87	-0.30	0.00		-0.31	0.12	10.09	6.66
2	7.92	-0.19	0.02	0.00	-0.24	1.08	10.75	8.16
3	7.98	-0.16	0.04	÷	-0.20	1.61	10.89	8.60
4	8.05	-0.13	0.08	0.00	-0.17	2.03	11.00	8.86
5	8.11	-0.11	0.10	0.01	-0.15	2.41	11.09	9.05
6	8.18	-0.10	0.12	0.02	-0.12	2.78	11.17	9.21
7	8.24	-0.07	0.14	0.02	-0.09	3.15	11.24	9.34
8	8.30	-0.05	0.15	0.03	-0.07	3.51	11.32	9.46
9	8.36	-0.04	0.17	0.03	-0.04	3.88	11.38	9.57
10	8.42	-0.02	0.19	0.04	-0.01	4.25	11.45	9.68
11	8.47	0.00	0.20	0.05	0.02	4.65	11.52	9.79
12	8.53	0.03	0.22	0.05	0.04	5.05	11.59	9.90
13	8.60	0.05	0.23	0.06	0.07	5.49	11.67	10.02
14	8.66	0.07	0.25	0.07	0.09	5.96	11.76	10.14
15	8.74	0.09	0.27	0.09	0.12	6.45	11.85	10.28
16	8.82	0.11	0.29	0.10	0.14	6.93	11.96	10.44
17	8.91	0.14	0.32	0.12	0.17	7.53	12.09	10.65
18	9.02	0.17	0.37	0.16	0.20	8.11	12.26	10.93
19	9.21	0.21	0.43	0.23	0.24	8.70	12.53	11.36
20	9.69	0.31	0.62	0.47	0.38	9.24	13.20	12.47
Total	8.50	0.00	0.21	0.08	0.00	4.64	11.54	9.73

・ロト・「「「・」」・「」・(」・(」・

Motivation	Data	Descriptives	Regressions	Conclusions
0000	O	000000000000	000	O

#### Employment share ventile - firm wage premium



▲ロト ▲ 園 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト 一臣 - のへで

Motivation	Data	Descriptives	Regressions	Conclusions
0000	O	000000000000	000	O

## Employment share ventile - LT migrant co-workers



▲ロト ▲ 課 ト ▲ 語 ト ▲ 語 ト ● 回 ● の < @

Motivation	Data	Descriptives	Regressions	Conclusions
0000	O	000000000000	000	O

### Employment share ventile - recent migrant co-workers



▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Motivation      Data      Descriptives        0000      0      000000000000000000000000000000000000	Regressions 000	Conclusions O
---	--------------------	------------------

#### Employment share ventile - mean co-worker skill



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ ● 三 ● ○ ○ ○

Motivation	Data	Descriptives	Regressions	Conclusions
0000	O	0000000000000	000	O
0000	0	00000000000000	000	0

#### Employment share ventile - firm labour productivity



▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト 一臣 - のへで

Motivation	Data	Descriptives	
0000	O	000000000000000	

## Summary of ventiles

- Migrants on different visas work in different sorts of firms
- Migrants work in different sorts of firms to NZ-born
- In general, long-term migrants look more like NZ-born than recent migrants do, potentially because
  - Visa system *t*-limits migrants with weaker expected labour market outcomes (recall transition rates to LT)
  - Migrants who experience poor labour market outcomes choose to leave NZ or the labour market
  - Migrants learn about labour market opportunities (ie, improved job matching)
  - Migrants and/or employers identify better ways to benefit from migrant skills (changing work methods, training, two-way innovation)
  - Employers learn the true market value of migrants (eg, Dostie, Li, Card & Parent for Canada)
- Focus on ever-LT migrants to partially control for selection
- Then balance panel & introduce NZ-born comparison group new hires (drop under 18s)







▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ ● 三 ● ○ ○ ○

Motivation	Data	Descriptives	Regressions	Conclusions
0000	O	0000000000●00	000	O

### Mean w by arrival cohort for ever-LT – balanced panel



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Motivation<br/>0000Data<br/>oDescriptives<br/>0000000000000Regressions<br/>0000Conclusions<br/>oMean w gap by arrival cohort for ever-LT vs new hire –

# balanced panel (Note: post-GFC)



<ロト < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



イロト イロト イラト イ nac

Descriptives

## OLS w gap (ever-LT vs all NZ-born) – unbalanced panel

Dependent variable:		Popu	lation		San	nple
wage (w)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Long-term migrant						
t = 1	-0.096**	-0.101**	-0.011	-0.034**	-0.035**	-0.003
	[0.008]	[0.007]	[0.007]	[0.006]	[0.007]	[0.005]
t = 2	-0.083**	-0.088**	-0.026**	-0.052**	-0.053**	-0.016**
	[0.007]	[0.006]	[0.006]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.004]
t = 3	-0.063**	-0.075**	-0.028**	-0.056**	-0.058**	-0.022**
	[0.006]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.003]
t = 4	-0.047**	-0.065**	-0.028**	-0.057**	-0.059**	-0.026**
	[0.006]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.003]
t = 5	-0.033**	-0.057**	-0.031**	-0.062**	-0.064**	-0.034**
	[0.006]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.003]
t = 6	-0.009	-0.041**	-0.025**	-0.057**	-0.059**	-0.032**
	[0.006]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.003]
t = 7	-0.003	-0.036**	-0.022**	-0.055**	-0.057**	-0.034**
	[0.006]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.004]	[0.005]	[0.003]
t = 8	0.004	-0.031**	-0.020**	-0.054**	-0.056**	-0.036**
	[0.006]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.003]
t = 9	0.011*	-0.026**	-0.017**	-0.052**	-0.054**	-0.036**
	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.003]
t = 10	0.018**	-0.020**	-0.013**	-0.049**	-0.050**	-0.036**
	[0.005]	[0.005]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.003]
N(observations)		33,09	1,461		5,342	2,463
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.015	0.223	0.255	0.283	0.285	0.397
Controls: year	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
sex×age	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
sex×tenure	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
location	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y
industry	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y

Motivation 0000		Data O		00000	otives 0000000	000		Regressio 000	ns		Conclusion
OLS	w gap -	– con	troll	ing f	or ot	her	outco	omes	(pro	od-or	ıly)
	Dep: w	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
	LT $(t = 1)$	-0.028**	-0.035**	-0.024**	-0.033**	-0.027**	-0.026**	-0.032**	-0.023**	-0.024**	
		[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.003]	
	LT (t = 2)	-0.035**	-0.042**	-0.026**	-0.039**	-0.031**	-0.033**	-0.032**	-0.028**	-0.025**	
		[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.003]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.003]	[0.003]	[0.003]	
	L1 $(t = 3)$	-0.041**	-0.048**	-0.029**	-0.043**	-0.037**	-0.040**	-0.035**	-0.033**	-0.029**	
		[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.003]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.003]	[0.003]	[0.003]	
	L1 (t = 4)	-0.046	-0.055	-0.034	-0.049**	-0.045	-0.047	-0.039	10 0021	-0.034	
	T(t-5)	-0.057**	-0.064**	-0.042**	-0.057**	-0.052**	-0.056**	-0.046**	-0.048**	-0.042**	
	LT(t = 3)	[0 003]	[0 004]	[0 003]	[0 004]	[0 003]	[0 003]	[0 003]	[0 003]	[0 003]	
	LT $(t = 6)$	-0.058**	-0.064**	-0.042**	-0.058**	-0.052**	-0.056**	-0.046**	-0.049**	-0.043**	
	( /	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.003]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.003]	[0.003]	[0.003]	
	LT $(t = 7)$	-0.059**	-0.065**	-0.043**	-0.060**	-0.053**	-0.058**	-0.047**	-0.053**	-0.045**	
		[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.003]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.003]	[0.003]	[0.003]	
	LT $(t = 8)$	-0.057**	-0.063**	-0.041**	-0.058**	-0.052**	-0.056**	-0.046**	-0.052**	-0.045**	
		[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.003]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.004]	
	Co-worker migr	ant share	0.043**					0.030**		0.019**	
			[0.008]					[0.006]		[0.004]	
	Co-worker mean	n skill		0.825**				0.698**		0.506**	
	<b>-</b> : . ()			[0.012]	0.000**			[0.013]		[0.009]	
	Firm size (7)				0.028**			0.018**		-0.003**	
	Eirm Jahour pro	ductivity			[0.002]	0 10/**		0.060**		0.002	
	Firm labour pro	Junctivity				[0.007]		[0 004]		[0.023	
	Firm k-l ratio					[0.007]	0.041**	0.004		-0.002	
							[0 003]	[0.002]		[0 001]	
	Firm wage pren	nium (FFE)					[]	[]	1.273**	1.049**	
		. /							[0.012]	[0.010]	
	Change in gap	-0.029	-0.028	-0.017	-0.025	-0.025	-0.030	-0.014	-0.029	-0.021	
	Adjusted $R^2$	0.446	0.446	0.488	0.455	0.465	0.451	0.497	0.516	0.532	

<ロ> < 団> < 団> < 豆> < 豆> < 豆> < 豆</p>

Motivation      Data      Descriptives        0000      0      000000000000000000000000000000000000	Regressions 00●	Conclusions O
---	--------------------	------------------

## OLS w gap - by initial industry mean firm wage premium

Dep. var:			Industry	quartile				Industry	quartile	
wage (w)	All	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	All	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	(1)	(2a)	(2b)	(2c)	(2d)	(3)	(4a)	(4b)	(4c)	(4d)
LT $(t = 1)$	-0.028**	-0.084**	-0.047**	-0.004	0.017*	-0.024**	-0.063**	-0.030**	-0.012	0.003
	[0.004]	[0.005]	[0.009]	[0.008]	[0.008]	[0.003]	[0.005]	[0.008]	[0.007]	[0.006]
LT(t = 2)	-0.035**	-0.071**	-0.046**	-0.016*	0.024**	-0.025**	-0.046**	-0.031**	-0.020**	0.012*
	[0.004]	[0.006]	[0.008]	[0.007]	[0.008]	[0.003]	[0.005]	[0.008]	[0.006]	[0.006]
LT $(t = 3)$	-0.041**	-0.075**	-0.056**	-0.015*	0.040**	-0.029**	-0.046**	-0.043**	-0.019**	0.023**
	[0.004]	[0.006]	[0.008]	[0.006]	[0.007]	[0.003]	[0.005]	[0.007]	[0.005]	[0.006]
LI (t = 4)	-0.048**	-0.085**	-0.065**	-0.017*	0.051**	-0.034**	-0.054**	-0.050**	-0.022**	0.032**
	[0.004]	[0.006]	[0.007]	[0.007]	[0.007]	[0.003]	[0.005]	[0.007]	[0.005]	[0.006]
LI $(t = 5)$	-0.057**	-0.097**	-0.075**	-0.022**	0.046**	-0.042**	-0.067**	-0.060**	-0.027**	0.026**
	[0.003]	[0.007]	[0.007]	[0.006]	[0.007]	[0.003]	[0.006]	[0.007]	[0.005]	[0.006]
L1 $(t = 6)$	-0.058**	-0.103**	-0.072**	-0.022**	0.047**	-0.043**	-0.073**	-0.059**	-0.025**	0.028**
	[0.004]	[0.007]	[0.007]	[0.006]	[0.007]	[0.003]	[0.006]	[0.007]	[0.005]	[0.006]
LT(t=7)	-0.059***	-0.108**	-0.074***	-0.020***	0.055***	-0.045***	-0.082***	-0.000**	-0.023***	0.030***
$1 \pm (t = 0)$	0.057**	0.1008	0.060**	0.017**	0.062**	0.045**	0.005**	0.062**	0.010**	0.000
LT(t = 0)	[0 004]	[0 008]	[0 007]	[0 006]	[0.003	[0 004]	[0 007]	[0 006]	[0 006]	[0.042
C + 1 C C +	[0.004]	[0.000]	[0.007]	[0.000]	[0.007]	[0.004]	[0.007]	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]
Controls for firm chars.	IN	IN	IN	N	IN	Y	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	ř
				C	hange in g	ap over tim	e			
$\beta_{t=8}^{(.)} - \beta_{t=1}^{(.)}$	-0.029	-0.025	-0.021	-0.013	0.046	-0.021	-0.022	-0.033	-0.006	0.039
H <sub>0</sub> :			р	-value from	test that	specified ga	ps are equa	1		
$\beta_{t=1}^{(.)} = \beta_{t=1}^{(a)}$	-	-	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	-	0.000	0.000	0.000
$\beta_{t=1}^{(.)} = \beta_{t=1}^{(b)}$	-	0.000	-	0.001	0.000	-	0.000	-	0.067	0.001
$\beta_{t=1}^{(.)} = \beta_{t=1}^{(c)}$	-	0.000	0.001	-	0.058	-	0.000	0.067	-	0.090
$\beta_{t=8}^{(.)} = \beta_{t=8}^{(a)}$	-	-	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	-	0.001	0.000	0.000
$\beta_{t=8}^{(.)} = \beta_{t=8}^{(b)}$	-	0.000	-	0.000	0.000	-	0.001	-	0.000	0.000
$\beta_{t=8}^{(.)} = \beta_{t=8}^{(c)}$	-	0.000	0.000	-	0.000	-	0.000	0.000	-	0.000

・ロト・(型ト・(三ト・(三ト・))
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・</<

Motivation	Data	Descriptives	Regressions	Conclusions
0000	O	0000000000000		•

#### Conclusions

- Original questions
  - Do migrant jobs differ from NZ-born jobs?
    [Yes] Migrants sort into lower wage/productivity jobs
  - Do job characteristics change the longer migrants stay in NZ?
    [Yes] LT migrant "look" more like NZ-born than recent migrants, particularly controlling for age & tenure. Conversely, adding location increases gap. Gap is stable or slightly ↓ over t
  - Are migrant wage gaps/their evolution "explained" by job sorting?

**[Partially]** Adding ind suggest  $\uparrow$  gap over *t*. Combined, job characteristics explain around half of change in wage gap

- Heterogeneity in results (initial job industry). Additional results in paper by cohort and by initial visa (4 groups)
  - Former: initial differences between cohorts perhaps due to macro conditions appear to fade over time
  - Latter: initial gaps between skilled and other migrants persist over *t*, likely reflecting ability (even conditioning on ever-LT)